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Fungicide Application Effects on Non-target Microbial Populations of Putting Greens


Current. There are between 20 and 30 million acres of turfgrass in the United States, consisting of lawns, parks, golf courses, athletic fields, sod farms, industrial and institutional grounds, right-of-ways, and other recreation areas. The turfgrass industry continues to grow rapidly.

The management of turfgrasses, especially on golf courses, represents perhaps the highest level of plant management practiced on any agricultural or horticultural commodity known today. Proper turfgrass management involves a number of rather complicated mechanical, physical, chemical, and biological manipulations that result in the desired product of a blemish-free carpet of green grass.

Highly maintained turfgrass sites characteristically use high inputs in the form of fuel, fertilizers, pesticides, and water for irrigation. Pesticide use, in particular, is substantial. The use of fungicides is a major tactic for controlling diseases on high quality turfgrasses. This is particularly true on putting greens. Short cutting heights, the ever-increasing amount of traffic on putting greens, and low nutrient inputs have placed unprecedented stresses on turfgrass plants, making them highly susceptible to damage from many different diseases, some of which were previously considered relatively unimportant. Golf course turgrasses receive very high fungicide and use is increasing (1).

The majority of those applications are to putting greens and tees, making the amount of fungicide applied per unit area quite high. Since many high-maintenance turfgrass sites are found in close proximity to surface waters and within critical groundwater recharge areas, and primarily in and around urban areas, questions have been raised as to the impact of such a land use on water quality.

Researchers at Cornell University tested the hypothesis that repeated applications of fungicides to putting greens would have major impacts on microbial populations of both foliar and soil-borne microbes. Surprisingly, this was not the case. Their results include:

- The total number of fungal propagules detected was greater in soil at the start of the season than later, but there were no significant effects even after the season-long application of fungicides, regardless of the fungicide applied.
- On leaves, there were no significant effects of fungicide applications on total numbers of fungi, regardless of time or fungicide application. Most of the fungi detected were in the genus *Trichoderma*.
- The relative numbers of filamentous fungi versus yeasts changed substantially on turf leaves as evidenced by both the numbers and plate appearances. However, there was no significant difference in total microbial metabolic activity among fungicide treatments.
- It does not appear that repeated applications of fungicides have major impacts on soil microbial communities.

Appearance of cultures from dilution plates from untreated plants or from Daconil Ultrex-treated leaves. The dark colonies are filamentous fungi and the white to tan mucoid cultures are yeasts.
quality, wildlife, and human health, particularly as it relates to pesticide exposure.

Further, there have been a number of non-target effects of fungicides in turfgrass management systems. These include selection of fungicide-resistant biotypes of pathogens, promotion of nontarget diseases, enhanced thatch buildup, decreased root or stem biomass, and rapid disease resurgence following fungicide applications (5).

Given the high levels of fungicides applied to turfgrass, we considered it likely that high levels of applications of frequently applied fungicides would alter or perturb soil and foliar microbial communities. This perturbation would be expected to have significant consequences including the promotion of nontarget diseases and rapid disease resurgence because of the destruction of natural antagonists of turf pathogens. This paper summarizes three years of extensive sampling of turf microbial communities in the presence and absence of fungicide applications.

Materials and Methods

In 1996, five eight-foot diameter "swimming pool" greens constructed in 1995 at the Cornell University Turf Research Farm in Ithaca, NY were used as the experimental microplots. The pools contained the standard USGA sand/peat rootzone profile.

Subplots consisted of an untreated plot and the seven fungicide treatments. Each subplot was three square feet and each treatment was represented on each pool. The fungicides selected represent different classes with different modes of action. For example, Daconil Ultrex (chlorothalonil) is a contact fungicide with a relatively non-specific mode of action against most classes of fungi. Chipco 26019 Flo (iprodione) selectively damages energy-producing organelles in select fungi. Banner Maxx (propiconazole) and Bayleton (triazimefon) are systemic in plants and have a very specific mode of action, inhibiting a specific enzyme necessary for fungal cell integrity (3). In all cases, if alternative rates are registered, we always used the maximum legal rate of the fungicide. The treatments, active ingredients, rates, and application schedules are shown in Table 1.

Two hundred milliliters of the appropriate rate was applied to each plot using a hydraulic CO₂ sprayer. Samples were taken from starting in May before any fungicide application and monthly thereafter through September. Nine to twelve 1.0 cm-diameter cores were taken from each subplot at a depth of 3 cm and transported to the laboratory for microbial assays.

Microbial plate counts were determined by performing a serial dilution in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and plating appropriate dilutions on solid media. Acidified potato dextrose agar plus a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Active Ingredient</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Application Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Untreated</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daconil Ultrex</td>
<td>chlorothalonil</td>
<td>3.6 oz/1000 sq. ft.</td>
<td>14 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chipco 26019 Flo</td>
<td>iprodione</td>
<td>8 oz/1000 sq. ft.</td>
<td>21 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subdue Maxx</td>
<td>mefenoxam</td>
<td>1 oz/100 sq. ft.</td>
<td>21 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banner Maxx</td>
<td>propiconazole</td>
<td>4 oz/1000 sq. ft.</td>
<td>21 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayleton 25W</td>
<td>triadimefon</td>
<td>4 oz/1000 sq. ft.</td>
<td>21 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostar 50WP</td>
<td>flutolanil</td>
<td>3 oz/1000 sq. ft.</td>
<td>14 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentinel</td>
<td>cyproconazole</td>
<td>0.167 oz/1000 sq. ft.</td>
<td>21 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Cornell University scientists testing various turfgrass fungicides shown above to test whether their repeated use would have significant effects on either foliar or soil-borne microbial populations of putting greens.
microbial colony restrictor (4) was used to enumerate total culturable fungi. This medium eliminates growth of bacteria and permits characterization of colonies based on colony morphology. Some of the most common fungi encountered on this medium were *Trichoderma* and *Pencillium spp.* and yeasts. These fungi are very common in soil and on roots and usually either have few effects on plant growth or else have beneficial ones, including biocontrol abilities. Total culturable bacterial population numbers were estimated by plating on tryptic soy agar (10% strength). This is a differential medium favored by bacteria and fungi grow poorly on it.

We also examined specific microbial groups. For Actinomycetes, which are filamentous...
bacteria, we used 0.02% tripticase soy agar + the antibiotic polymixin B sulfate. This nutrient-poor medium is favorable for Actinobacteria with minimal growth of fungi or other bacteria. *Pseudomonas spp*., which are common plant-associated bacteria and which frequently have biocontrol ability, were enumerated on a selective medium that we have used earlier (4). Finally, we enumerated Oomycetes in the genus *Pythium* using a *Pythium*-selective medium (4). These organisms may be plant pathogens or biocontrol organisms, depending on the particular species and strain that may be present.

In addition, BIOLOG GN plates were used to assess functional diversity by means of metabolic profiles. General levels of microbial activity were determined by the rate of hydrolysis of fluorescein diacetate. Finally, phospholipid fatty acid profiles were used to assess taxonomic diversity of microbial communities.

## Results

In 1996, we sampled roots from the plots every month and evaluated changes in the microbial profiles using the various media. We detected no significant differences and the results were similar to those in 1997, so we will present only the 1997 data. Similarly, we found no significant differences in BIOLOG microbial metabolic profiling, based on principal component analyses. We also found no differences in general microbial activity or following phospholipid activity tests.

In 1997, we sampled both roots and leaves. The total number of fungal propagules detected was greater in soil at the start of the season than later, but there were no significant effects even after the season-long application of fungicides, regardless of the fungicide applied (Figure 1). On leaves, there were no significant effects of fungicide applications on total numbers of fungi, regardless of time or fungicide application. Most of the fungi detected were in the genus *Trichoderma*. We were able to distinguish between species similar to *T. virens* and those similar to *T. harzianum*, since the latter has a tan pigmentation on the reverse side of the acidified potato dextrose agar plates while those of *T. virens* are white.

There was no significant effect of time or treatment on either *Trichoderma spp.* in soil, but on foliage, there were initially higher levels of *T. harzianum* at the start of the season. By the end of
the season, there were no differences between the two, and fungicide applications made no difference. Likewise, the fungicide applications had no effect on total numbers of Pythium spp., total bacterial, Pseudomonad or Actinobacteria numbers.

In contrast, nearly all of the fungi on leaves were similar to T. harzianum, but by the end of the season, other fungi had largely displaced T. harzianum, and were predominately yeasts, Penicillia, and others. This was particularly true with plants that had been treated with Daconil Ultrex. On plants treated with Bayleton 25, T. harzianum remained the predominate culturable fungus (Figure 2).

In 1998, we performed a mini-experiment on a soil green at the Cornell University Turf Research Farm. In September and again in October, we focused on the timing of sampling after application of fungicides. We sampled the plots before we made the scheduled application (day 0), one day after the application (day 1) and again seven days after the application (day 7). FDA hydrolysis analyses and fungal enumerations were performed at each sampling time (i.e., days 0, 1 and 7) for four different treatments: untreated, Daconil Ultrex, Chipco 26019 Flo, and Banner Maxx. Three repetitions of each treatment were sampled. For the final sample set, all treatments were sampled one day after the final fungicide application.

The relative numbers of filamentous fungi versus yeasts changed substantially on turf leaves as evidenced by both the numbers and plate appearances (Figure 3). However, there was no significant difference in total microbial metabolic activity among fungicide treatments as measured with the FDA test. Most of the fungi isolated from leaves of untreated plants were filamentous fungi, while after the season-long application of Daconil, most of the fungi isolated were yeasts. With Chipco or Banner, the change in populations of filamentous fungi versus yeasts was more transitory, dropping immediately after application and then increasing within a week.

**Discussion**

Our hypothesis at the start of the work was that repeated applications of fungicides would dramatically change the microbial composition around roots and on leaf blades. This clearly was
not the case with any of the fungicides tested. On roots, we could see no changes whatsoever with plating tests, BIOLOG tests for metabolic profiles, fatty acid microbial profiles, or tests for total microbial metabolic activity. Thus, while different results might be obtained with other assays, such as ribosomal DNA assays, it does not appear that repeated applications of fungicides have major impacts on soil microbial communities.

This may be because (a) the fungicides are mostly water insoluble and therefore do not penetrate deeply into the soil, or (b) the soil microbial community is highly competitive and resilient and able to rebound very quickly after fungicidal applications. The fact that Trichoderma spp. are so prevalent in the fungal community may also be significant since many members of this genus are highly resistant to a variety of fungicides (2) and their populations could be selectively over the years that greens are established.

We were particularly surprised at the leaf plating data, which at first glance, gave little indication of change based on numbers counted on the various media. However, it now is clear that while total numbers of fungi on leaf blades do not change, the application of fungicides changes the composition in favor of yeasts relative to filamentous fungi. This effect may be transitory, as in the case of Chipco, or longer lasting as was the case with Daconil. The fungal community on leaf blades appears highly dynamic and changing in response to fungicide applications. It is important to note that the natural dollar spot epiphytotic that occurs each year was controlled by fungicides as expected.
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